1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
3	Jane Doe #1; Jane Doe #2; Norlan Flores on behalf of themselves and all others	)	
4	similarly situated,	)	
5	Plaintiffs,	) CV-15-0250-DCB	
6	vs.	)	
7	Chad Wolf, Acting Secretary of Homeland Security; Mark A. Morgan,	<pre>Tucson, Arizona ) January 21, 2020 ) 1:18 p.m.</pre>	
8	Acting Commissioner, U.S. Customs and Border Protection; Carla L. Provost,	) )	
9	Chief of United States Border Patrol, in her official capacity; Roy D.	)	
10	Villareal, Chief Patrol Agent-Tucson Sector, in his official capacity,	)	
11	Defendants.	)	
12		_)	
13			
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
15	BENCH TRIAL DAY SIX SESSION 2 OF 2		
16	BEEODE: THE HOMODARIE DAMIT	C BIIDV	
17	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE DAVID C. BURY UNITED STATES SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE		
18			
19			
20			
21	Cheryl L. Cummings, RDR-CRR-RMR-CRC-CRI		
22	Official Court Reporter Evo A. DeConcini U.S. Courthouse		
23	405 West Congress, Suite 1500 Tucson, Arizona 85701		
24	(520) 205-4290		
25	Proceedings Reported by Stenographic Cour Transcript Prepared by Computer-Aided Tra		

## APPEARANCES 1 2 For the Plaintiffs: Morrison & Foerster, LLP 3 By: JACK W. LONDEN, ESQ. 425 Market Street, 32nd Floor San Francisco, California 95105 4 5 Morrison & Foerster, LLP By: COLETTE R. MAYER, ESQ. 6 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 7 8 9 For the Defendants: United States Department of Justice 10 By: SARAH B. FABIAN, ESQ. P.O. Box 868 Ben Franklin Station 11 Washington, D.C., 20044 12 United States Department of Justice By: WILLIAM C. SILVIS Civil Division Office of Immigration Litigation 13 450 5th St NW 14 Washington, D.C., 20001 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENSE	
3	BRADLEY SIMON	
4	DIRECT BY MR. SILVIS	4 23
5	CROSS BY MR. LONDEN	23
6		
7	EXHIBIT INDEX	
8		ADM
9	693	26
10	818, 912	32
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Proceedings commenced at 1:18 p.m., as follows:) 3 THE COURT: All right. Back on the record. Good 4 afternoon. We have a new person up there. 5 MR. SILVIS: Yes, your Honor. The defense calls 6 Chief Bradley Simon. 7 THE COURT: All right. BRADLEY SIMON, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN 8 9 Thank you, please be seated and then state your full name and spell your last name for the record. 10 THE WITNESS: Robert Bradley Simon, S-i-m-o-n. 11 12 THE COURT: Go ahead, Counsel. 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SILVIS: 14 Good afternoon, Chief Simon. 15 16 Good afternoon, sir. 17 Could you give us your current assignment? 18 I'm currently an associate chief at headquarters Border 19 Patrol in Washington, D.C. 20 And how long have you been in that position? 21 Since February of 2019, sir. 22 And can you tell me what the responsibilities of that 23 position are? 24 I currently cover operations central so I work with the

central corridor of the operations section, and I report

directly to the deputy chief of the law enforcement operations director.

- Q. And how long have you been in the Border Patrol?
- $4 \parallel A$ . About 17 and a half years, sir.
- Q. Can you briefly give us sort of your background, not date by date, but your responsibilities and positions you've held
- 7 | in the Border Patrol?

- 8 A. Certainly, sir. I started my career in Presidio, Texas
- 9 in 2002. I was a Border Patrol agent there.

  10 And then transferred to Uvalde station, also served as a
- 11 | Border Patrol agent. Promoted to supervisory Border Patrol
- 12 | agent in Uvalde, Texas. Transferred to Sandusky Bay station,
- 13 | Sandusky, Ohio, where I served as a supervisory Border Patrol
- 14 | agent and field operations supervisor, a watch commander, and
- 15 | then later the patrol agent in charge. I transferred from
- 16 | there to Carrizo Springs, Texas, where I served as the patrol
- 17 | agent in charge. Then I was lateraled to Eagle Pass station
- 18 | also as a patrol agent in charge. And then to my current
- 19 | position.
- 20 | Q. And could you -- what is a patrol agent in charge?
- 21 | A. Excuse me, sir?
- 22 | Q. What is a patrol agent in charge?
- 23  $\parallel$  A. The patrol agent in charge is the person that runs a
- 24 | particular station, so it handles all the administrative and
- 25 | operational aspects of a single Border Patrol station.

- 1 Q. And so how many stations have you run as the patrol agent
- 2 | in charge?

- A. Three, sir.
- $4 \parallel Q$ . Three. So you have experience both in the field as a
- 5 | Border Patrol agent and also at headquarters?
- 6 | A. That's correct, sir.
- $7 \parallel Q$ . Are you familiar with a soft-sided structure?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 | Q. And in the Border Patrol parlance or understanding, what
- 10 | is a soft-sided structure?
- 11 | A. The soft-sided facilities were used this year based on
- 12 | the crisis that we encountered, the overwhelming number of
- 13 | individuals that were in our custody. And it was a stopgap
- 14 | measure trying to expand our capacity to safely handle the
- 15 | influx that we experienced on the southwest border.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. All right. And in your current role, is there any part
- 17 | of your current role that makes you sort of knowledgeable
- 18 | about the use of soft-sided structures?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir. As the -- the agents that work at headquarters
- 20 | transfer in and out, responsibilities get shifted amongst the
- 21 | level -- the associate chief level. And as such, I've become
- 22 | the primary point of contact for all facilities and asset
- 23 | management who helps us with the contractual and construction
- 24 | of things like the soft-sided facilities. So I've been
- 25 | working with them on soft-sided facilities that we currently

1 | have.

- 2 Q. And where are they currently in use, the soft-sided 3 structures or facilities?
- A. Yes, sir. We have Rio Grande Valley Sector where there
  are three soft-sided facilities. We have El Paso Sector where
  there is now one. We had two previously, but we're now down
- 7 | to one there. And then we have one in Yuma Sector.
- 8 Q. And can you give us some idea what it costs to operate a 9 soft-sided facility?
- 9 | soft-sided facility? 10 | A. Yes, sir. The -- the soft-sided facilities that we have
- 11 now, we have two different sizes. We have 500 capacity and
- 12 | then we have 2,000 capacity. The 500 capacity in Texas, it's
- 13 about \$3 million a month. The one that we have in Arizona is
- 14 | about \$4 million a month. And then when you go up to the
- 15 | larger sizes, the 2,000 capacity is a little over \$10 million
- 16 | a month.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And where was the one in Arizona? I'm sorry, I
  18 | didn't hear if you said.
- 19 A. I apologize, sir, it's in Yuma.
- 20 | Q. Yuma, Arizona.
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Okay. And can you describe essentially what it is, a 23 soft-sided facility?
- A. So it's a large soft-sided structure, so it's a building but it's soft sided. It was something that during the crisis

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that we experienced on the southwest border last year, it was the most expeditious way that we could increase capacity at the time. Because of the fact that it was a state of emergency, we had some flexibility in doing sole source selections and things of that nature. We're continuing to work through that as far as in Yuma moving -- because we've got to move forward with other construction projects to provide more of a long-term solution. But the -- it's taken us a little longer with these now that we're no longer in the state of emergency, it gets us -- the process is just longer, sir. Okay. Can you -- what services are provided with this,

- with this soft-sided facility?
- It depends on what the contract says. And I didn't write or wasn't involved in the writing of those contracts, but security, the cleaning, food, things of that nature are often rolled into the contract for the soft side.
- Now, is this something that the Border Patrol could roll out in times when there's an influx or a surge and then just kind of pack up and put into a warehouse until there's times when it's not so busy?
- We don't own them, sir. So they're rented. As I said, it's longer now to work through that process. Right now the acquisition phase is about four to six months, and then the construction or the standup, so to speak, is another about two

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

months. And then once the contract is over, it's another 30 days to take that down.

So your initial standup is about the same cost as a month of running the facility. So if it's costs 3 million to run the facility per month, then it costs about that to stand it up. And that's after that four- to six-month acquisition phase. And that -- it's that 60-day standup phase. And then we go into the monthly recurring expense.

And that's one of the reasons why we're trying to move away from it. We don't own them. And so for us, it's that recurring cost on a facility that we don't own, and it is a relatively short-term solution, so we're trying to move away from the soft-sided facilities.

- Q. Does it cost money to set up these soft-sided facilities?
- 15 A. Yes, sir. As I mentioned, it costs about the same as it
  16 would for one month of running a facility.
- 17 | Q. And where does that money come from?
- A. That money was coming from the supplemental bill that was passed in the middle of 2019. There was money allocated by the appropriations committees in those -- in the supplemental
- 21 | bill that allowed us to use those.
- Q. I believe you testified that it's a contractor that sets up these facilities?
- A. Absolutely, sir. So we find a contractor that has the capacity to provide that requirement. We bring them in, they

```
1
    set it up, they manage it as far as the structure.
                                                         And then
 2
    at the end of the contract, then they would take it back down.
 3
         And that was contracting on that part, is this
 4
    something -- what's that process? Do you -- does this go out
 5
    to bidders when you have done this before or how would that
 6
    work?
 7
         So again, last year because of the state of emergency, it
    moved a little more quickly than it is for us this year.
 8
 9
    Right now, like I said, we're working on a project in Yuma and
    it's four to six months. And that's just for the acquisition
10
    phase because you have to go through the contract bidding
11
    process and making sure that the contract can meet the
12
    requirements laid out in the contract.
13
         Why didn't you have to do that before? Why didn't you
14
    have to go through the contracting phase during the last
15
16
    surge?
         Well -- and understand, sir, I'm -- I don't work in the
17
18
    contracting department so this is just to the best of my
    understanding, was that the fact that it was declared a state
19
20
    of emergency allowed some flexibility in the contracting
21
    process and allowed them to do sole source.
                                                  So if they were
22
    able to identify somebody who could rapidly meet that
23
    requirement, they could -- they could award those contracts
    more quickly than they can outside of those circumstances.
24
```

Okay. But is it your understanding that you can't -- the

- Border Patrol couldn't always utilize sole source contracting 1 2 to build soft-sided facilities? Well, and that surge -- what we're encountering now, so 3 4 we're going from that relatively short process of awarding the 5 contract to the acquisition that's four to six months right 6 now for soft side. 7 Okay. And I believe you testified earlier that the Border Patrol is no longer planning to use these soft-sided 8 9 facilities? Well, again, it's -- the soft sides were a short-term 10 solution. And so we're trying to move away from that 11
  - solution. And so we're trying to move away from that soft-sided facility into more of the long-term facilities, the hard sided that, one, we're not paying that recurring monthly cost to a contractor and that the Border Patrol owns. We've got projects ongoing where we're moving to modular facilities. And although they're not brick and mortar, they still wouldn't have the same life span as a regular building, it does give us some extended life cycle for the facility and it allows us to repurpose if we have another solution that comes along.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Can you explain to us what a -- I believe you said modular facility is?
- A. Yes, sir. So a modular is similar to -- just for
  layman's terms, it's kind of like a mobile home in concept.

  But where a facility that may be a thousand square feet for a
  home, these would be 80- to 100,000 square feet. And they're

brought in in sections that are able to be easily moved, and then they're connected once they're on-site.

Q. So is this something that you can take, you can build, say, in Tucson. And then if the needs were different somewhere else along the border, you could pick it up and move it to, say, Yuma or a different station?

A. In theory. But understanding that when you get them there, unlike mobile homes, like a doublewide, for instance, where you bring them in two separate pieces and fit them together, these are a lot of different facilities, a lot of different buildings that are brought in that are designed by the contractor to serve a purpose. So they may have large open spaces where you would have intake or something like that. But once they're brought in, then they all have to be connected. And so in a facility like this where you're going to be housing people in custody, that's a lot of welding and things of that nature.

The one we have now, we've continued to push that delivery date further and further out because of those contracting pieces to make sure that it meets the standard before we accept it. So when we expected it late last year, we're looking at later this year before the project is going to be completed. And that was one that was, like I said -- it was as quick as we could move through the process at that time.

2

3

4

5

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But relatively speaking, you're looking at probably 14 months, give or take, for a project like that to work through the process. And that's -- that's with the understanding that the contractor has the supply available to meet the need.

- 6 Okay. And how were those funded? Is it the same method 7 that you do for the soft-sided facilities?
- I believe that the funding came for -- for the modular 8 9 through the supplemental as well, yes, sir.
- And why did the Border Patrol, to your knowledge, look 10 towards use of soft-sided facilities and the modules? 11
- Again, it was expedience is my understanding of the 12 soft-sided decision, because we had a crisis. We had a lot of 13 people in custody, and we were working as hard as we could to 15 make sure that we had the appropriate space for the folks that 16 we had in custody.
  - Are you aware of any currently funded construction projects, Border Patrol construction projects along the southwest border?
  - Yes, sir. So we have -- in Rio Grande Valley Sector, we have a renovation of the central processing center. that's -- we've still got soft-sided facilities there. at least to some extent, we're trying to keep in place while we do the renovation of the current central processing center. Again, it takes awhile for that renovation, so we're probably

looking at 14 to 18 months for that renovation. And so as we get the central processing center, the brick and mortar 3 building back online, then we'll move away from those facilities.

And then we have the central processing center that we're working towards in El Paso. I know that it was initially funded and we're continuing to work through that process. then there was construction of a modular in Yuma Sector and renovation here in Tucson Sector.

- You said there's projects within the Tucson Sector?
- Yes, sir. 11
  - And what projects is that?
- It's renovation of the Nogales processing center. 13
- 14 Could you talk about that a little bit, explain what that
- 15 is?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

16

to the Nogales station. It's the facility there on the same 17

Nogales processing center is actually attached

- 18 footprint. And part of the money that we received was
- 19 directed toward that project. We were trying to get that --
- 20 that facility back up to standard, back up to code because it
- 21 hasn't been used. Again, it's a funding issue and so we're --
- 22 we're working through that process now as some of the money
- 23 that was initially allocated on the supplemental bill has been
- 24 So we're looking at other funding sources to
- execute on those projects.

Yes, sir.

Q. Can you explain briefly what you mean when you're saying "the process," your familiarity with the appropriations processing, getting money for these constructions to the extent that you know?

A. Yes, sir. So any time that you're dealing with the appropriations committees, you're telling them what you want to do and how much you think you're going to need in order to fulfill that — that requirement. So it's kind of like when you're doing your home budget, you have your wants and your needs. And so we're trying to convince them to fund the needs that we have and then as much of the wants as we can.

So as particularly to the supplemental bill, that money was funded again during the middle of the crisis and a lot of it was for humanitarian care. And so the projects were in line with that. Some of that money has been rescinded. A significant amount of that money has been rescinded as far as the construction portion, and so we're trying to work through the process to identify other funding lines that we could use to complete that project.

- Q. What do you mean by the money has been rescinded? By whom?
- A. So to my understanding it was the appropriators. And again, it's -- I went through our budget office when I found out working with the soft sided and things of that nature.

  That's part of the stuff that comes across my desk and we work

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

through. And so we had 85 million that was allocated in that
bill for the construction of the modular in Yuma and the
Nogales facility. And then 30 million of that was rescinded.

So it's left us short and we're working through the process now. And actually, that's what I was working on last week. And when I return back to D.C., I'll continue to work on that.

- Q. And that appropriation, are you referencing the fiscal year, the 2019 fiscal year supplemental appropriation?
- 10 A. That was the supplemental that was passed in 2019, yes, 11 sir.
- 12 Q. And that funding has impacted the Nogales processing 13 center?
- 14 A. That's -- it's impacted the process moving forward, yes, 15 sir.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And do you have any sense or estimate of the timing for when the Nogales processing center will be complete?
  - A. I don't, sir. Again, it goes back to the appropriators have a lot of control as far as when and how money is disbursed.
- Q. The examples that you provide testimony about, these construction projects, are these projects initiated as a result of litigation or are these projects that the Border Patrol has identified based on the needs of the patrol and the

greatest need?

- A. It goes back -- I don't know -- to answer your first question, I don't know of any litigation that's mandated any project like this; but what I can tell you is that, again, it goes back to what our requirements are. What is the most pressing need and trying to meet those needs through funding requests.
- 8 Q. If there were to be a court order, something of that
  9 nature, what would the Border Patrol have to do in order to
  10 get funding to comply with that?
  - A. Again, sir, it would be going back to the appropriators.

    We ask for money based on specific requirements, and the appropriators are then the ones that decide how much, if at all, we receive for -- for those requests.

For instance, if we had a project that we asked for \$100 million for and we laid out why we needed it, they could give us the \$100 million, they could give us 50 million, they could give us nothing. It is completely dependent upon what the appropriators feel is the accurate amount or if they think the project needs to move forward.

- Q. Do you have any sense of timing of approximately how long that would take? I mean, have the appropriations already gone in for the next fiscal year?
- 24 | A. For 2021?
- 25 | Q. I meant 2020, I guess. But you're saying 2021 is the

1 | next one?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- A. Yes, sir. And again, I deal with the appropriators on the operational side, and so I answer a lot of the questions they have about what we're doing. But as far as the budgeting for the oncoming years, I don't deal with them on a regular basis on that, sir.
- 7 | Q. Okay.
  - MR. SILVIS: Ms. Kershaw, could you pull up joint Exhibit No. 914? And then specifically page 197. If you would highlight Section 212(a)(2), the second paragraph.
- 11 | BY MR. SILVIS:
- 12 Q. Mr. Simon -- Chief Simon, I apologize. Do you see the 13 text that's been highlighted under 2 there?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q. Do you have any understanding of what this is?
- 16 A. No, sir, I don't. Again, it would be something that -17 that the appropriators have been advised that we needed or a
  18 project that they've decided to fund.
- Q. Well, this has been an exhibit that's been admitted into evidence in this case, and it's the fiscal year 2020 budget -- or, the bill, the appropriations bill for 2020. Pardon me.

  And the portion we've highlighted said that there's
- \$30 million to address health, life, and safety issues at existing Border Patrol facilities including construction.
- 25 In your experience working with appropriations, is that

4

5

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

money just free to spend anywhere? Or has that already been earmarked for specific projects in your experience?

A. Well, in my experience -- and again, I didn't work on this bill so I can't speak to it specifically. But in my experience, it would have been something that was already allocated for a specific project.

- Q. Would you expect that this is \$30 million to spend as the 8 Border Patrol sees fit?
- 9 A. I haven't seen that yet where there's just a large sum of
  10 money where there wasn't an expectation that it was going to
  11 be spent on something in particular.
- Q. Yeah. In your personal experience and professional experience, what does that process usually entail when you're working with the appropriators?
  - A. Well, again, it would be laying out the requirement, what we need and why we need it and asking for a specific amount of money to meet that need.
  - Q. And now, is that usually done at the sector level or the project level? I mean, how far down the appropriators, in your experience, drill down when it comes to these numbers?

    Because I don't -- I'm looking at this and I don't see any specific projects.
- A. In my experience, it's been site specific. So, for instance, when we were working on the El Paso central processing center, the money was allocated specifically for

- 1 | the El Paso central processing center.
- 2 Q. I have a similar question on a different part of this 3 bill.
- MR. SILVIS: Ms. Kershaw, could you go to page 195?

  And near the bottom where it says Section 209(a). May have to
  go up a little more. Just slightly more, please. 209(a).
- 7  $\parallel$  There you go.
- 8 | BY MR. SILVIS:
- 9 Q. And part of the same bill which is joint Exhibit 914, it
  10 says Section 289 -- I'm sorry, 209. And under (a)(3), do you
  11 see an amount of money allocated there?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13  $\parallel$  Q. And could you just read what that is allocated?
- 14 A. It says 62,364,000 for facility construction and 15 improvements.
- Q. Okay. And actually I should have had you read this other part before, but under 2, the top part where it says Section
- 18 209(a), "Of the total amount," would you read that for us?
- A. Of the total amount made available under U.S. Customs and Border Protection procurement construction and improvements,
- $21 \parallel 1,904,468,000$  shall be available only as follows.
- Q. So referring back to 3 below, and that's the \$62 million figure, same question that I asked before but just curious still. Again, is that something that the Border Patrol has been earmarked for specific projects in your experience? Or

is that something that the Border Patrol could just use as they see fit?

A. My understanding is it would have been something that we

justified to appropriators for a specific project.

Q. Okay.

MR. SILVIS: Ms. Kershaw, you can take it down, please. Thank you.

| BY MR. SILVIS:

- Q. Based on your experience as a Border Patrol agent, both in the field and at headquarters, do you have any concerns about requiring the Border Patrol to accommodate longer term custody or detention?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 14 | 0. What are those concerns?
  - A. Well, it's twofold, really. One is that our primary mission is to secure the border between the points of entry. So anything that takes the men and women of the Border Patrol away from that primary mission in essence increases vulnerability along the border.

Two is that our partner agency Immigration and Customs

Enforcement Removal Operations or ICRO, that's their

responsibility is to provide long-term detention of subjects

in the immigration process. The Border Patrol has that first

minor piece as far as the apprehension and the processing, and

then we turn those folks in our custody over to either

Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Enforcement Removal Operations. Or if they're unaccompanied alien children, we would turn them over to Health and Human Services Office of Refugee Resettlement to take them through the rest of the immigration process.

So there's an agency that does the long-term detention, and it -- Border Patrol conducting long-term detention would, again, take our men and women away from that primary focus on border security. So those would be my concerns.

- Q. Okay. Would it have any impact on the time individuals spent in custody potentially of the Border Patrol?
- A. Anything that -- that expands that would increase time in custody. Because you're going to have to move people. The transportation takes time. And then bringing folks back to a central location in order to turn them over to ICRO or Health and Human Services ORR extends time in custody.

So again, our portion is to try to detect illegal entry of persons or things, make that apprehension as quickly as possible, do the appropriate documentation to begin the immigration proceeding if it's a person or the seizure documentation if it's an item, and then get those to the appropriate agency to take through to a successful law enforcement disposition whether that's in immigration court or through the seizure process or in a criminal trial.

Q. Thank you.

```
1
              MR. SILVIS:
                           Your Honor, may I confer briefly with
 2
    my colleagues?
 3
              THE COURT:
                           Sure.
 4
              MR. SILVIS:
                            That's all I have for direct,
 5
    your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT:
                           Cross?
 7
              MR. LONDEN:
                            Yes, your Honor.
 8
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
 9
    BY MR. LONDEN:
         Chief Simon, my name is Jack Londen. I'm one of the
10
    plaintiffs' lawyers. Nice to meet you.
11
12
         Nice to meet you, sir.
         Your work has focused on the central corridor since you
1.3
14
    your agency present position in February of 2019?
         That's correct, sir.
15
         Tucson Sector is not in the central corridor; correct?
16
17
         That's correct, sir.
18
         What's the extent of your knowledge about how Tucson
19
    Sector Border Patrol stations have been fulfilling their
    official duties?
20
21
         I have very little information on how Tucson Sector is
22
    specifically doing their work.
23
         And processing detainees is part of the Border Patrol's
24
    mission; right?
```

That's correct.

- 1 | Q. Should be short-term, not long-term; right?
- $2 \parallel A$ . Yes, sir.
- 3 | Q. Based on your knowledge as a Border Patrol agent, a
- 4 | typical length of time required to process an individual
- 5 detainee depending on the case and the technology used is
- 6 | between half an hour or several hours; is that right?
- $7 \parallel A$ . That sounds appropriate, sir.
- 8 | Q. And Border Patrol facilities that exist now, the
- 9 permanent ones generally were designed mainly for single
- 10 | adults; right?
- 11 | A. That is correct, sir.
- 12 | 0. Not for families?
- 13 | A. That's correct, sir.
- 14 | 0. Or children?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. And they're more like police stations than shelters;
- 17 || right?
- 18 | A. I'm sorry, could you repeat the question?
- 19  $\parallel$  O. The Border Patrol stations that exist now are more like
- 20 | police stations than shelters?
- 21 | A. That's correct, sir. They're intended for short-term
- 22 | holding.
- 23 | Q. Right. One of the factors determining the length of
- 24 | detention is how long it takes to get the agency where the
- 25 | detainee should go to accept that transfer; right?

- A. That is correct, sir.
- 2 Q. And that has been getting longer in recent -- during the
- 3 | time you have been in your present job; right?
- 4 A. Well, that's actually fluctuated, sir, depending on the
- 5 | traffic flows.

- 6 MR. LONDEN: Could we put up joint trial Exhibit
- 7 | 693, please?
- 8 | BY MR. LONDEN:
- $9 \parallel Q$ . This is a transcript from March of this year. It is a
- 10 | transcript of a statement made by Chief Hastings. Who is
- 11 | Chief Hastings?
- 12 | A. Chief Hastings is the chief of the law enforcement
- 13 | operations director at U.S. Border Patrol headquarters.
- 14 | Q. He is your boss' boss; is that right?
- 15 | A. That's correct, sir.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. Is he authorized to speak for the agency?
- 17 | A. I believe he is, sir.
- 18 | Q. And this is a public statement made to the press?
- 19 | A. It appears to be, yes, sir.
- $20 \parallel Q$ . All right.
- 21 MR. LONDEN: I offer 693 into evidence.
- 22 | MR. SILVIS: I would just object as this is outside
- 23 | the scope of the direct examination unless it's going to
- 24 | connect up at some point.
- 25 MR. LONDEN: This is background, your Honor.

```
I don't know what it says, so I don't
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
    know how it's -- what's the purpose of the offer?
 3
              MR. LONDEN: He addresses a number of subjects
 4
    including alternatives available when stations are crowded.
 5
              THE COURT: Oh, okay. No, overruled. I'll admit
 6
    it.
 7
         (Exhibit 693 entered into evidence.)
    BY MR. LONDEN:
 8
 9
         Look, please, at page 3. And at the second paragraph, it
10
    says, "Generally we want to see our custody numbers around
    4500 across the southwest border."
11
         I'll stop there. Is that a number of -- is that an
12
    occupancy number for the stations, not limited to Tucson but
13
14
    along the southwest border?
15
         Can you give me just a moment to read this?
16
         I -- I'm sorry.
17
         Can you give me just a moment to read this, sir?
18
         Of course. Read it. Tell me when you're ready.
19
         Yes, sir.
                    It appears that he's talking about overall
20
    across the southwest border.
21
         And it says, However, two weeks ago we saw our own -- our
22
    in-custody numbers up to critical levels of 13,000 in custody.
23
    Our partners at ICE and HHS do not have the bed space to
24
    address this crisis we're experiencing, and that's
    particularly true for family units as ICE is only able to
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

place a fraction of those family units into family residential They release the others with a notice to appear before an immigration judge. I'll end my quote there. Did he accurately describe the situation at that time? MR. SILVIS: I'm going to object to foundation. THE COURT: Well, he's just reading from the document. My question is whether these statements MR. LONDEN: by Chief Hastings are accurate based on conditions at the time. THE COURT: Overruled. He can answer if he knows. THE WITNESS: At the time as the law enforcement operations director chief, I believe he would be the one to speak to it, yes, sir. BY MR. LONDEN: And was the situation at that time part of the reason for the acquisition of the soft-sided facilities? That was part of the process, yes, sir. And look at the paragraph -- well, let's just continue. "The system backups have resulted in individuals spending additional time in Border Patrol custody in increasingly crowded conditions." I'll end reading there. System backups, that's a reference to ICE and HHS not having the ability to accept detainees at the rate needed to avoid crowded conditions. Is that -- is my statement fair?

Your Honor, I object. He's asking the 1 MR. SILVIS: 2 witness to speculate on what another official meant. 3 THE COURT: If he knows, he can answer. Overruled. 4 THE WITNESS: I would assume that's what he's 5 referring to. 6 BY MR. LONDEN: 7 Look down to the paragraph that says, "As a last resort scenario, on March 19 the Border Patrol began releasing 8 9 noncriminal processed family units to NGOs directly." You were involved in a project after you joined in 10 February for own recognizance release. Right? 11 12 Yes, sir. And there was a new policy in which the authority to 13 approve release to NGOs on own recognizance for appropriate 14 detainees was delegated to a lower level of authority; is that 15 16 right? 17 Yes, sir. 18 And that was in response to overcrowded conditions? 19 That was in response to the totality of the 20 circumstances, yes, sir. 21 And so was the purpose of that delegation to lower level 22 to increase own recognizance releases a part of a response to 23 high levels of detainees at the time? 24 It was in response to the exorbitant amount of people

that we were apprehending, yes, sir.

- 1 | Q. And do you know how the levels -- are you able to compare
- 2 | the detainee levels at that time in Tucson Sector to detainee
- 3 | levels today?
- 4 | A. No, sir. I don't have those numbers in front of me. I
- 5 | know that overall nationwide, the numbers are down
- 6 | dramatically.
- 7 | Q. Do you know what the manageable level of detainees in
- 8 | Tucson Sector is?
- 9 A. Not specific to Tucson, no, sir.
- 10  $\parallel$  Q. And do you know whether the manageable level exceeds --
- 11 | the manageable level is below current levels of detainees in
- 12 | Tucson Sector?
- 13 A. Not specific to Tucson Sector, no, sir.
- 14  $\parallel$  Q. But under that policy, delegation to local officials
- 15 | allowed them to keep the detainees at a manageable level;
- 16 | right?
- 17  $\parallel$  A. To the best of their ability and what we saw that was in
- 18 | March. And as we moved into May, those numbers continued to
- 19 | increase as far as our apprehensions went. We stopped that
- 20 process and the numbers have come back down. And we're --
- 21 | overall, across the southwest border, we're at a manageable
- 22 | level at this point.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. But you can't say what the manageable level is for
- 24 | Tucson?
- 25 | A. No, sir.

1 Mr. Lucero, please put up Plaintiffs' 2 Exhibit 1232 for identification. 3 BY MR. LONDEN: 4 I want to describe this before I ask you a question. 5 It's a news article about a new immigration tent shelter in 6 Yuma dated June 27, 2019. And I'm going to call your 7 attention to the photograph which is an updated photo. says, "Released by the U.S. Department of Health and Human 8 9 Services, showing the inside of the HH facility at Tornillo, Texas," ending my quote there. 10 Does this resemble the inside of what you were calling a 11 soft-sided structure? 12 No, sir, not that I've seen. 13 And what's the difference? 14 This looks -- to be blunt, it looks like a bunkhouse kind 15 16 of setup and it's a very small structure. And the soft-sided 17 facilities that we have referenced earlier are large 18 facilities that have multifaceted sections to them. So you'll 19 have a section for processing in it, a section for holding 20 rooms and then restrooms and things of that nature, sir. But 21 I hadn't seen this facility before or one like this. 22 Are you aware that Health and Human Services as well as 23 the Customs and Border Protection used soft-sided facilities 24 in Texas? 25 I don't know much about Health and Human Services and

1 their facilities, sir. I see the picture and it's the first 2 picture that I've seen of a Health and Human Services 3 facility, whether it be in Texas or somewhere else. Mr. Hasting -- Chief Hastings' public statement said that 4 5 ICE and HHS did not have bed space. Is it your understanding 6 when detainees are accepted by ICE/ERO or by ORR or by Health 7 and Human Services, they get beds? I believe that they're moved to long-term detention, yes, 8 9 sir. But if they have to wait for a period of time until those 10 agencies can accept them, they don't get beds at Border 11 Patrol; right? 12 Well, we don't have beds in our facilities, no, sir. 13 You talked about the appropriations bill for fiscal 2020. 14 Is information about the intent of the funding for that bill 15 available in Congressional Reports? 16 Honestly, sir, I don't know. All I can speak to is my 17 18 understanding of the way the process works, is that we're 19 asking for a specific -- specific projects. But I don't know 20 if that's in Congressional Reports or how that's documented, 21 sir. 22 MR. LONDEN: Your Honor, we've marked as joint trial 23 Exhibit 818 and joint trial Exhibit 912, the Senate and House

reports for the current appropriations bill which we think is

appropriate for judicial notice as a legislative fact.

24

1 MR. SILVIS: No objection. 2 THE COURT: Admitted. (Exhibits 818 and 912 entered into evidence.) 3 4 MR. LONDEN: May I consult with my partner? 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. LONDEN: Pass the witness, your Honor. 7 Any redirect? THE COURT: 8 MR. SILVIS: No, your Honor. 9 All right. Chief, is there any concern THE COURT: 10 at all on the part of Border Patrol to have individuals, detainees sleep on the floor of your fatalities for three and 11 12 four days? THE WITNESS: Sir, obviously our concern is about 1.3 14 taking care of the people in our custody, but also getting them processed and out of our custody as expeditiously as 15 16 possible. Obviously as quickly as we can do that, the less 17 time they spend in our custody. And it allows them to go to a 18 long-term detention facility, and it allows our agents to 19 focus on the Border Patrol security mission. 20 THE COURT: Well, that's not really answering my 21 question. You would move them out much quicker if somebody 22 could take them. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: For example, if ICE had the beds, they'd go to the ICE facility once they're processed in your

1 facility; right? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: 3 But that's not happening. They're held in your facilities for a longer period of time after 4 5 processing, which is your job and they're not accepted so 6 they're sleeping on the floors. That's not a concern to 7 Border Patrol? Well, your Honor, those numbers, as 8 THE WITNESS: 9 the overall detention numbers have gone down, the expediency of us transferring into ICRO custody has improved. 10 apprehensions decreased, they're able to take them more 11 12 quickly. Yes, it's a concern that the conditions are 13 14 appropriate. But again, it's short-term holding. And if the concern for, at least in my opinion, would be if it 15 transitions into a long-term detention, then it's two agencies 16 doing or conducting the same job. And again, it's taking our 17 18 folks away from doing their border security mission. So if 19 ICRO had the appropriate bed space and the funding was 20 available for them to do this, it meets everyone's needs. 21 THE COURT: Agreed. But that's not what's 22 happening, is it? THE WITNESS: Well, again, your Honor --23 24 THE COURT: You have people -- maybe you don't know these numbers, but you have folks in the Tucson center here

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
sleeping on a floor with a mat and a Mylar blanket sometimes
for three and four days. That's not desirable, is it?
          THE WITNESS: It's not the best case scenario,
your Honor.
            However, again --
          THE COURT:
                     Well, it wouldn't happen at all if these
other agencies, specifically ICE, had space for them.
          THE WITNESS: Absolutely, your Honor, and the
funding that goes along with that.
                     They need to build the building.
          THE COURT:
          THE WITNESS:
                       Yes, sir. They do.
                                            Because it's --
at the end of the day, your Honor, they're already trained and
practiced in all of the pieces and parts that go along with
that long-term detention. And it makes sense that they're the
ones that -- that should be performing those -- those duties.
Like I said, they already do it, they're knowledgeable about
how to do it.
              They deal with the contracts required to handle
those kind of situations on a regular basis. They're kind of
the built-in set. So you're absolutely right, your Honor.
          THE COURT:
                     Okay.
                             Thank vou.
                       Yes, sir, your Honor.
          THE WITNESS:
         THE COURT:
                    Anything else?
         MR. SILVIS: No, your Honor. Is the witness
excused?
          THE COURT:
                     Thank you very much, Chief.
         THE WITNESS:
                       Thank you, your Honor.
```

MR. SILVIS: Is he excused, your Honor? 1 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MR. SILVIS: Thank you. 4 Your Honor, defense has no further witnesses. 5 THE COURT: Rebuttal? Well, you act surprised. 6 folks do talk to each other, don't you? 7 MR. LONDEN: My gesture was about the time of day. We have a plan for rebuttal from Mr. Vail who is 8 9 arriving at 2 something today and had the same illness that your Honor had. But he's ready for tomorrow morning. It will 10 be a brief rebuttal, I think maybe an hour. But he's not here 11 12 now. THE COURT: And is that the extent of your rebuttal? 1.3 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor, as we anticipate it If there's any clean-up exhibits to offer, we'll notify 15 16 the other side as soon as we can this evening, but I don't think so. 17 18 THE COURT: So the case will be submitted by noon 19 tomorrow? 20 MR. LONDEN: I can't imagine otherwise, your Honor, 21 it won't be because of us. 22 THE COURT: I'm just trying to think of a timetable 23 here because I want us to have an opportunity to have a chat. 24 MR. LONDEN: We would welcome that opportunity, your Honor, and we'd be ready whenever you are including right

after the rebuttal ends. But we also have another day before -- we're ready when you are, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, I'm sick anyway, so, you know, recessing early is -- today is fine with me. But the timetable then would be to have your witness tomorrow morning. We have all the evidence. And then you would argue the case.

THE WITNESS: We're ready when you are, Judge.

MS. FABIAN: Your Honor, we would defer to you as far as how you would like closing arguments, whether you'd like written closings or us to submit -- do oral closings tomorrow.

THE COURT: Oral arguments tomorrow morning with some questions from me.

MS. FABIAN: Sounds great, your Honor.

MR. LONDEN: Got it.

May I raise one record issue I discussed with the clerk this morning? During the testimony of Witness B, your Honor saw a set of photographs and said, "I can't make out any faces." And I said if the faces aren't visible, no problem with their being admitted. The only thing that was shown to your Honor or the public was -- it was all redacted. There is an unredacted version that wasn't shown that very clearly shows the face of Witness B. And the only problem is our record reflects that the unredacted version was admitted. We propose to deal with this in the sealing order that we have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
to work out, but no harm is done. It wasn't shown publicly.
It's just the record inaccurately shows that what was shown
was unredacted and it was redacted.
         THE COURT:
                     Well, okay. You can agree.
         MS. FABIAN:
                      Sounds like I agree.
                                            I don't quite
understand the problem, but I'm happy to talk it over.
sounds like we can agree on this.
                      The problem is I misspoke on the record
         MR. LONDEN:
about what was being shown. I said I thought it was the
unredacted version. It was the redacted version.
can work it out in the -- we have to work out the sealing
provisions for the confidential documents that were submitted
and we will do that. This will be solved as part of it.
transcript reference is incorrect.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
         MS. FABIAN:
                      Sounds workable.
         THE COURT: All right.
          9:15 tomorrow. We'll recess until 9:15 tomorrow.
We'll take one witness, relatively short witness and then I
would ask for argument from counsel. All right.
         MR. LONDEN: Yes, your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                    We'll see you tomorrow morning.
     (Proceedings adjourned at 2:07 p.m.)
```

CERTIFICATE I, Cheryl L. Cummings, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Dated this 21st day of January, 2020. /s/Cheryl L. Cummings Cheryl L. Cummings, RDR-CRR-RMR-CRC-CRI Federal Official Court Reporter